

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MICHAEL HESSE,

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:21-cv-1931 WBS CSK P

ORDER

Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On April 24, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations herein which were served on all parties, and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Neither party filed objections to the findings and recommendations.

Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.


////

1 The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States, 602
2 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo.
3 See Britt v. Simi Valley Unified School Dist., 708 F.2d 452, 454 (9th Cir. 1983). Having
4 reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record
5 and by the magistrate judge's analysis.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 7 1. The findings and recommendations (ECF No. 64) are adopted in full;
- 8 2. Defendant Sanga's motion for summary judgment (ECF No. 55) is granted;
- 9 3. Judgment shall be entered in favor of defendant Sanga in this action; and
- 10 4. The Clerk is directed to terminate this action.

11 Dated: June 25, 2024

12 
13 WILLIAM B. SHUBB
14 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

15
16 /hess1931.803
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28